



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_6265
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTS OF LOW PROTEIN DIETS SUPPLEMENTED WITH HIGH AMINO ACIDS (METHIONINE AND LYSINE) ON PERFORMANCE OF BROILERS.
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	ABSTRACT: THIS ABSTRACT IS NOT CLEAR AND DOES NOT ALLOW TO UNDERSTAND THE MAIN RESULTS OBTAINED. I SUGGEST TO BETTER EXPLAIN EVEN THE EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN. INTRODUCTION: line 48-50, THE AIM OF THE STUDY IS NOT VERY CLEAR. MATERIALS AND METHODS: I SUGGEST TO INTRODUCE A TABLE TO BETTER EXPLAIN THE EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN (DIFFERENT TIPIES OF DIETS, SAMPLING TIME, PARAMETERS RESEARCHED) CONCLUSIONS: CONCLUSIONS SHOULD BE MORE LINKED TO RESULTS OBTAINED	All suggestions have been considered.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION: <u>LINE 112-113:</u> THE NAME OF THE REFERENCE NEEDS TO BE INCLUDED.	This aspect is actually stepped down
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	THE ENGLISH USED IN SOME PART IS POOR, I SUGGEST A REVISION. I SUGGEST A BETTER EXPLANANTION OF EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND RESULTS.	